

Darryl Parker, State Bar #95914
PREMIER LAW GROUP, PLLC
3131 Elliott Avenue, Suite 710
Seattle, Washington 98121
Telephone: (206) 285-1743
Facsimile: (206) 599-6316
Attorney for Plaintiff
GLADYS DEWITT

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, State Bar #83788
Chief Labor Attorney
ROSE-ELLEN H. FAIRGRIEVE, State Bar #181257
Deputy City Attorney
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102-5408
Telephone: (415) 554-3845
Facsimile: (415) 554-4248
E-Mail: rose-ellen.fairgrieve@sfgov.org
Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
AND JAMES MOORE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GLADYS DEWITT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL HOSPITAL, JAMES
MOORE, and DOES 1 – 25,

Defendants.

Case No. Case No. 07-3791 PJH

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
STATEMENT

Hearing Date: 8/14/2008
Time: 2:30 p.m.
Place: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
Judge: Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

The parties jointly submit this Case Management Statement and request the Court to adopt it
as its Case Management Order in this case.

I. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

The parties agree that the Court has jurisdiction over plaintiffs' claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 42 U.S.C. §1983. The parties agree that the Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1391(b).

Defendant the City and County of San Francisco has been served and has answered the Second Amended Complaint for Damages. Defendant James Moore has not been served. San Francisco General Hospital is a City department, and thus cannot be sued separate and apart from the City and County of San Francisco.

II. FACTS

The parties attended a court-ordered mediation on June 2, 2008. At the mediation, the parties entered into a tentative settlement ("Settlement"). The Settlement provided that "[t]he parties acknowledge that this agreement is subject to all necessary Board and Commission approvals." A copy of the handwritten settlement agreement signed at the June 2, 2008 mediation is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

The settlement agreement further provided that the parties would prepare and sign a more detailed settlement agreement. Defendant's counsel prepared a settlement and release agreement ("Agreement"). After minor revisions, Plaintiff executed the Settlement Agreement on July 22, 2008, and Defendant's counsel received the signed Agreement on July 30, 2008.

The next step in the approval process is to submit the Agreement to the Health Commission for its approval. Defendant anticipates the Settlement being calendared for the August 19, 2008 Health Commission Meeting. After the Health Commission approves the Settlement, the Agreement will go to the Board of Supervisors for its approval. Defendant anticipates that, considering the steps the Settlement Agreement must go through, the earliest Plaintiff's payment can be issued is the week of October 27, 2008.

The step-by-step procedure for obtaining approval of the Settlement and for the warrant to be processed, including when each step is anticipated to occur, is set forth in the Declaration of Rose-

Ellen H. Fairgrieve In Response to Plaintiff's Request for a Case Management Conference, filed on August 4, 2008.¹

III. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

Neither party currently intends to amend the pleadings.

IV. DISCLOSURES

The parties have completed their Initial Disclosures and the issues to be decided are well formulated by the mediation process.

V. DISCOVERY

No discovery has taken place since the last Case Management Conference as the parties reached a settlement.

VI. CLASS ACTION

Not applicable.

VII. RELATED CASES:

There are no related cases pending in this court.

VIII. RELIEF:

At this time, plaintiff requests the Court's assistance in finalizing the settlement agreement.

IX. SETTLEMENT AND ADR:

The parties attended a mediation on June 2, 2008 and reached a settlement of this matter after an all day session.

X. SERVICE LIST:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:

Darryl Parker
PREMIER LAW GROUP, PLLC
3131 Elliott Avenue, Suite 710

¹ Upon review, Counsel for Defendant realized that she inadvertently failed to sign the declaration under penalty of perjury. A revised declaration is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

1 Seattle, Washington 98121
2 Tel: (206) 285-1743
3 Fax: (206) 599-6316

4 **FOR DEFENDANT:**

5 Rose-Ellen H. Fairgrieve
6 City Attorney's Office
7 1390 Market, 5th Floor
8 San Francisco, CA 94102
9 Tel: (415) 554-3845
10 Fax: (415) 554-4248

11 DATED: August 8, 2008

PREMIER LAW GROUP PLLC

12 By: /s/ Darryl Parker
13 Darryl Parker, SBN. 95914
14 Attorney for Plaintiff

15 Dated: August 8, 2008

16 DENNIS J. HERRERA
17 City Attorney
18 ELIZABETH SALVESON
19 Chief Labor Attorney
20 ROSE-ELLEN H. FAIRGRIEVE
21 Deputy City Attorney

22 By: /s/
23 ROSE-ELLEN H. FAIRGRIEVE
24 Attorneys for Defendant
25 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
26
27
28

Exhibit A

DeWitt v. City and County of San Francisco
Case No. 07-03791 PJH

The parties mediated this case on June 2, 2008 and have agreed to the following settlement terms:

1. Defendant agrees to pay plaintiff the sum of \$50,000.00 to be paid by check made payable to: "Premier Law Group, in trust for Gladys DeWitt."
2. Defendant will pay to plaintiff any accrued vacation, pursuant to defendant's payroll record.
3. Defendant agrees to pay 100% of the mediator's fee for this mediation.

In consideration of the above, plaintiff agrees:

1. To retire from her employment and to not seek re-employment with defendant at any time in the future.
2. To dismiss all litigation/defendants with prejudice.

The parties acknowledge that this agreement is subject to all necessary Board and Commission approvals.

The parties will prepare and sign a more detailed settlement agreement at a later date; this agreement is admissible for enforcement purposes. The mediator's role was solely to act as scribe for this settlement.

Date: 6-2-08
Date: 6-2-08
Date: 6/2/08
Date: 6/2/08

Gladys DeWitt
Lawyer
Pl. Att.
Def. Att.

Plaintiff
Plaintiff's Attorney
for Defendant
Defendant's Attorney

Exhibit B

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
ELIZABETH S. SALVESON, State Bar #83788
Chief Labor Attorney
ROSE-ELLEN H. FAIRGRIEVE, State Bar #181257
Deputy City Attorney
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, California 94102-5408
Telephone: (415) 554-3845
Facsimile: (415) 554-4248

Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
AND JAMES MOORE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GLADYS DEWITT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL HOSPITAL, JAMES
MOORE, and DOES 1 – 25,

Defendants.

Case No. 07-3791 PJH

**DECLARATION OF ROSE-ELLEN H.
FAIRGRIEVE IN RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR A CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

1 I, ROSE-ELLEN HEINZ FAIRGRIEVE, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney of record for Defendants in the above-captioned matter. I am
3 admitted to practice law in California and to appear before this Court. Unless otherwise stated, I
4 have personal knowledge of the facts in this declaration, and if called upon to testify, I could and
5 would testify competently to the facts contained herein.

6 2. Since June 2, 2008, when the parties reached a settlement of this matter, I drafted
7 the settlement and release agreement. I met and conferred with Plaintiff's counsel regarding
8 revisions, and revised the agreement. Plaintiff transmitted the signed agreement on July 22,
9 2008, while I was on vacation. I received the signed agreement from Plaintiff when I returned
10 on July 30.

11 3. I have completed the remaining necessary documents to transmit to the Health
12 Commission and Board of Supervisors for their approval.

13 4. The Health Commission generally meets the first and third Tuesdays of each
14 month. I anticipate that the proposed settlement can be placed on the Health Commission's
15 calendar for its meeting on August 19, 2008.

16 5. Assuming the Health Commission approves the settlement on August 19, the
17 proposed settlement would then go to the Controller's Office for verification of the availability of
18 funds. I am informed that the verification process usually takes approximately one week.

19 6. The Board of Supervisors meets every Tuesday, unless there is a holiday on the
20 prior Monday, in which case the Board does not meet that week. Additionally, the Board of
21 Supervisors is in recess on August 19 and 26, 2008.

22 7. Thus, the first Board of Supervisors meeting after the anticipated Health
23 Commission approval is on September 9. I am informed that, because the Board will have not
24 met for 3 weeks, it is probable that the settlement will not be placed on the Board's calendar until
25 the following meeting, September 16.

26 8. I am informed that the Board of Supervisors will refer the approval of the
27 settlement to the Rules Committee, which meets on the first and third Thursdays of each month.

1 If the settlement is on the September 16 calendar of the full board, I would expect it to be
2 calendared for the Rules Committee meeting on September 18.

3 9. If the Rules Committee approves the settlement, it will recommend the approval
4 to the full Board. The settlement ordinance must be presented to the full Board for two separate
5 readings. If the Rules Committee considers the settlement at its meeting on September 18, I
6 would expect the settlement ordinance to go before the full Board at its meetings on September
7 23 and October 7, 2008.

8 10. After the Board of Supervisors approves the settlement ordinance, the Mayor
9 must approve the settlement ordinance. The Mayor has ten days to approve the ordinance. Thus,
10 assuming the Board approved the ordinance on October 7, the Mayor would have until October
11 17 to approve the ordinance.

12 11. I am informed that upon receiving the Mayor's approval, this office takes
13 approximately one week to process the payment. Assuming the schedule set forth above is
14 followed, we would have a check ready for Plaintiff the week of October 27, 2008.

15 12. The schedule set forth above is dependant on the matters being calendared before
16 the respective bodies on the dates that we anticipate. I am informed that it is not always possible
17 to have proposed settlements scheduled for the particular meetings we anticipate, and that even if
18 a settlement is placed on a calendar for a particular meeting, sometimes the body will continue
19 the consideration of the settlement to another meeting.

20 13. The estimate of a six month time frame for settlement approval that I gave to Mr.
21 Parker takes into account the possible continuances referred to in the previous paragraph and
22 other unforeseen circumstances that I am informed often arise along the settlement approval
23 process because of the number of steps the settlement must go through. I do not recall informing
24 Mr. Parker that the process could take up to a year. I do not foresee any circumstances in which
25 it would take a year for the settlement of this action to be approved and payment to be issued.

26 14. Prior to payment being issued, the City must receive a completed W-9 form from
27 Plaintiff's counsel. Also prior to payment being issued, the case must be dismissed. The way
28 this is usually accomplished is that the Plaintiff submits a Stipulation for Dismissal to this office,

1 which holds the stipulation in trust until the settlement is approved by the Mayor. After the
2 settlement is approved, this office records the dismissal, then issues the payment. I am informed
3 that this allows for the most expedient processing of the payment after the settlement is
4 approved.

5
6 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
7 foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed in San Francisco, California.

8 Dated: August 7, 2008

9
10 
11 ROSE-ELLEN H. FAIRGRIEVE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28